IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

USDC. CLERK, CHARLESTON. SC

2010	JUL	15	P	1:48

Ellis O. Brown,)
Plaintiff,)) Civil Action No. 9:09-1520-SB
V.	
Michael J. Astrue, Commissioner of Social Security Administration,	ORDER
Defendant.)) _)

This matter is before the Court on the Plaintiff's action for judicial review, pursuant to Section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. § 405(g)), of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security, which denied the Plaintiff's claim for disability insurance benefits. The record includes a report and recommendation ("R&R") of a United States Magistrate Judge, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2)(a). In the R&R, filed on June 23, 2010, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the Court reverse the Commissioner's decision pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) and remand the case to the Commissioner for further administrative action. In a notice filed on July 8, 2010, the Defendant informed the Court that he would not be filing objections to the R&R, and the Court has not received any objections from the Plaintiff.

A STATE OF THE STA

Absent timely objection from a dissatisfied party, a district court is not required to review, under a <u>de novo</u> or any other standard, a Magistrate Judge's factual or legal conclusions. <u>Thomas v. Arn</u>, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Here, because no objections were filed, the Court need not conduct a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the R&R. Accordingly,

order of the Court, and it is ordered that the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security is reversed pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), and the case is remanded to the Commissioner for further consideration, as specifically set forth in the R&R.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Honorable Sol Bratt, Jr.

Senior United States District Judge

July 14, 2010 Charleston, South Carolina

2